

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,444	08/15/2001	Koichi Ito	0425-0847P	9635
2292	7590 12/20/200	2		
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		KIFLE, BRUCK		
FALLS CHU	RCH, VA 22040-07			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	11
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

4 /

Application No.

09/913,444

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

lto et al.



		Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address	
There rejec allow	REPLY FILED <u>Dec 12, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE T efore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a time vance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid the abandonment of this applinely filed amendment which place fee); or (3) a timely filed Reques	ication. A proper reply to a es the application in condition	on for
		EPLY [check only a) or b)]		
	The period for reply expires3 months from th	\ 7		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRS See MPEP 706.07(f).	or reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	from the mailing date of the	
e) ap se	xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The xtension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determinate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated frow the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if chailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce.	ning the period of extension and the c m: (1) the expiration date of the short necked. Any reply received by the Off	corresponding amount of the fee sened statutory period for reply of fice later than three months afte	. The originally
1.□	A Notice of Appeal was filed on	Appellant's Brief must be filed 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	d within the period set forth the appeal.	ı in
2. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered bed	cause:		
	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require further $oxed{\boxtimes}$		NOTE below);	
	\mathbf{X} they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be			
	they are not deemed to place the application in b issues for appeal; and/or			ıe
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling \circ	a corresponding number of finally	y rejected claims.	
	NOTE: <u>see attached.</u>	23.5		
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the no	on-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted	d in
5. 🗆	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NOT place	the
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly ra	aised
7. 🗆	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou) a) \square will not be entered or b) \square uld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on			 aminer
9. 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		A = A = A	K
10.	Other:		BRUCK KIFLE, PH PRIMARY EXAMIN ART UNIT 1624	

Application/Control Number: 09/913,444 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

Advisory Action

Provisos have been included in the claims. This proviso lacks description. Even negative limitations require a description. The MPEP at 2173.05(i) Negative Limitations states "Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. See Ex parte Grasselli, 231 USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983) aff'd mem., 738 F.2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984)" and, further, "Any claim containing a negative limitation which does not have basis in the original disclosure should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement." In the instant case, the new concept that has been introduced by the proviso is the specific relationships between the variables. This specific relationship of connectivity was previously not disclosed. This notion that the definition of one variable depends on the definitions of other variables is new. The definition of a variable is no longer independent.

Also, further searching and consideration is required as compounds that are homologues, analogues, etc. of those excluded are embraced by the claims and render the claims obvious.

The method of use claims would still be rejected.

The kind of heteroatoms present in heteroaryl is still not known.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle whose telephone number is (703) 305-4484.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,444

Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

December 19, 2002

Bruck Kiflé Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624